

## Review of Cooperative Health in The Covid-19 Era

Quezada AN, García Lirios C\* and Soto MLQ

Universidad Nacional Autónoma de Mexico, Mexico

### \*Corresponding author:

Cruz García Lirios,  
Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México,  
México, E-mail: [garcialirios@uaemex.mx](mailto:garcialirios@uaemex.mx)

Received: 06 Nov 2021

Accepted: 15 Nov 2021

Published: 22 Nov 2021

J Short Name: AJSCCR

### Copyright:

©2021 García Lirios C. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and build upon your work non-commercially.

### Citation:

García Lirios C, Review of Cooperative Health in The Covid-19 Era. *Ame J Surg Clin Case Rep.* 2021; 4(1): 1-14

### Keywords:

Migration; Governance; Acculturation; Multiculturalism; Interculturalism

## 1. Abstract

The governance of migratory flows, understood as a system of co-management co-responsibility between institutional and private, political and social actors with respect to their identity and occupational health is addressed in the present non-experimental, exploratory and cross-sectional work with a non-probabilistic sample selection of 100 former migrants from central Mexico. From a structural model seven factors were established regarding framing, demands, resources, risks, opportunities, capabilities and employment. Based on the literature consulted, the limits, scope and lines of research aimed at deepening the effects of the variables and factors in an intercultural scenario are noticed.

## 2. Introduction

The mitigation policies, implemented for the preventive confinement of the pandemic caused by the SARS-COV-2 coronavirus and the COVID-19 disease, have focused their attention on the supply of products in order to be able to guarantee the lack of origin at the expense of the migrant work (Anguiano, 2020). In this sense, the migratory flows explained since the rupture, crossing, stay and return have seen that chain of relations interrupted to support the native communities to solve their consumption needs. Given that these migratory flows are distinguished by their solidarity and fraternity, the analysis of the relations between both groups will allow us to anticipate scenarios of conflict or solidarity regarding the production and distribution of basic inputs.

Economic factors related to crisis, unemployment, migration, employment and prosperity link theoretical frameworks that explain educational and social factors around which research has been carried out that poses to the dynamics of groups as the predominant scenario of explanation of the journey and migrants' stay as well as the return and reintegration of former migrants (Mercado & Piña,

2010).

Migration is a central theme in the agenda of sending and receiving countries since it is involved with economic and demographic factors. This is the case of global investment flows in which it is possible to observe a capture of Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) in the United Kingdom (USD 50 billion), the main recipient of migrants (García, 2018). However, FDI is also concentrated in the United States (25 million USD and 9 million migrants in the last decade), Ireland, Spain and Sweden. In reference to Latin America, the United States receives more migrants from Mexico (from 150 to 275 thousand migrants from 1999 to 2010) with respect to the countries of Central America.

In the case of immigrants from Mexico who operate in the United States, they represent 25% (11.3) of the total of immigrants (44.5 million), although their upward trend was reduced from 2016, losing close to 300 thousand for 2017. However, Mexican migrants now have knowledge of higher education and mastery of a percentage of English with respect to previous flows (Zong & Batalova, 2019). The working age (18 to 64 years) average of Mexican migrants was 43 in 2017, 45 for the rest of migrants and 36 for natives of the United States. In that same year, 55% of Mexican immigrants lacked a high school certificate, only 7% had a bachelor's degree, explaining the concentration of the workforce in the service sector.

The governance of migratory flows, for the purposes of this paper, from organizational psychology refers to a system of co-management and co-responsibility between talents and leaders with respect to the demands of the outlook and internal resources, but this model centered on identity as The engine of the climate of relationships, supports, tasks and innovations does not always include the occupational health that the sociology of work has revealed as

a consequence of the imbalance between the requirements of the environment and the capacities of organizations (Aldana, Garcia, Sánchez, Hernandez, Espinoza & Sandoval, 2019).

Well, occupational identity and occupational health seem to coexist in a scenario of adaptation and assimilation of the requirements of the receiving environment in relation to migratory flows. It is a context of acculturation that in its most advanced dimension refers to a multiculturalism in which the migratory flows conform to the values and norms of natives, but such an instance would not be possible without the dissemination of a propaganda of the rectory of the State as axis of expulsion and reception of migratory flows that crystallizes in an agenda (Delgado, Garcia & Mejia, 2018).

Thus, the objective of this work was to explain the health cooperation networks between migrant flows returned from the United States of America, considering their stay of at least one year, as well as the sending of remittances to relatives in Mexico. For such purpose, the theoretical, conceptual and empirical frameworks that explain the solidarity phenomenon are exposed, as well as the method to approach the problem, discussing the scope and consigning the lines of research to be developed.

### 3. Theory of Cooperative Health

Because the SARS-COV-2 coronavirus-induced pandemic and COVID-19 disease are extremely communicable from person to person, mitigation policies have focused their instrumentation on confining people, causing side effects such as anxiety, depression and risk perceptions. In this sense, the theories that explain cooperative health have focused their attention on migrants as flows of experiences, knowledge, knowledge and skills (Limon, 2020).

The theoretical frameworks that explain the governance of migration flows focus on the establishment of an agenda which uses identity as the axis of migration, but circumscribed to a context of economic acculturation with a broad impact on occupational health since the type of work determines the quality of life and this to the working condition (Sanchez, Anguiano, Molina, Zallas, Aldana, Perez, Quezada & Garcia, 2018).

Three dimensions relative to time, territory and status that distinguish migrants displaced, merchants or any other types of travelers because the migrant has at least attempted or has been absent from his place of origin at least one year. In this way, the territory in which it is established marks a difference in terms of resources and opportunities with respect to its place of origin. Consequently, the migrant seeks to regularize his undocumented status to documented, when he is already documented he seeks to join the reference group or any other group to which he aspires to be included (Mercado & Pina, 2010).

There are four laws that detail the differences between migrants and origins with skills, productivity, marginality and utility in the field. This is the Law of Distinction with a work arrangement that

promotes equal opportunities, but differentiation in terms of quality and work capacity, for example, the unequal distribution of benefits (Fernandez, 2011). If the workers have more possibilities of being able to consume more, then their degree of satisfaction will be reduced to the acquired goods and services as in the Law of Marginal Utility.

Now, in the process of work and consumption, the point of inflection will prevail in which some variable ceases to be continuous and becomes discrete as the skill of the worker, promoting a slowdown in growth as explained in the Law of the Diminishing Marginal Returns (Castro & Rosero, 2011). Lastly, the Law of Socialist Economics that raises the satisfaction of the needs and expectations of workers in a regime of equal opportunities based on social production.

In short, the four laws warn the relationship between tangible and intangible assets in a productive organization that will be distinguished by the degree of productivity, scalability and satisfaction, since an intangible asset such as experience and knowledge of migratory flows will provide a greater evolution of the same flow to reach a greater position in the service sector where its workforce prevails and the consequent transformation of the local market in the creation of knowledge for the case of the economy 4.0 or the production of technology that designs, generates and manages more technology (Hernandez, 2020).

Perceptions of insecurity and risk of television audiences with respect to users of other media. The increase of fear, worry, anger, indignation or uncertainty is the result of excessive exposure to television programs that guide the authoritarian values of its viewers in order to justify public safety policies that reduce the freedom of choice to its minimum expression. (Guardiola, Espinar, Hernandez & Sanchez, 2010).

The enhancement of issues relating to public safety in personal agenda, at first instance and on the public agenda in the final instance. In this sense, the exacerbated dissemination of topics in the media generates a list of surrounding issues in the public opinion and its public discussion (Sanchez, Juarez, Bustos & Garcia, 2018). Thus, if the issues are related to violence, then the hearings will justify the programs for the prevention and fight against crime, but if the issues allude to a public peace scenario, then the users of the media will assimilate those programs of civic education.

The media of communication highlight specific attributes of the facts to guide the public opinion regarding causes and effects of the same events. In this sense, a message from the media has been framed in such a way that the recipients will immediately identify the causes of these events when associating them with certain political or economic actors (Carreon, 2020).

There are significant differences between the migrant self - concept and identity of the group to which it belongs or wants to be-

long in a situation of exclusion. He points out that there are differences beyond the groups and their identity processes, asymmetric relations between urban spaces and rural areas suppose complementary scenarios of discursive interaction. In this sense, identity is constructed from the exchange of ideas rather than goods. It should be *Note: Elaborated with data study*

d that dialogue is developed as a contest of ideas rather than as a consensus (Pacheco, 2013).

Prejudice, discrimination, xenophobia, racism or exclusion of indigenous people regarding migrants as these are seen as usurpers of resources, goods and services that seniority rights are attributed to the natives of a territory to the detriment of the rights of a group external to their uses and customs (Bahamonde, 2013).

Economic, political, social, educational, technological, scientific and digital divides between groups of high economic status with respect to margin groups vulnerable or excluded are explained s ideologies that amplify the gaps between the sectors when they are attributed a power that will influence the actions of institutions with respect to favoring the practices of the groups in power and inhibiting the development opportunities of excluded, vulnerable or marginalized groups. As a result, differences between adults with respect to children, young people or older adults are generated, as well as asymmetric relationships between men and women.

Suggests that the individual has, in its powers; skills, knowledge and values, the tools to determine your lifestyle and immediate future. It raises that the rent is a central concept in the explanation of the personal human development since the individual has the possibility of increasing his income derived from the rent of his capacities. This assumes that human capital is oriented to the relationship between opportunities and capabilities. When opportunities are zero and capacities are non-existent, then a business promotion policy is justified. An increase in opportunities combined with a decrease in skills, or a reduction in opportunities in reference to the increase of skills, supposes a human capital that is devalued or inhibited in its development. However, an amplification of opportunities and intensification of capacities enhances the human capital of a society (Farraguti, 2012).

It is a model in which labor demands generate stress and labor resources favor happiness. In this way, the motivation of the individual is focused on what the group expects from him in reference to his abilities. Often, the individual develops responses that increase or decrease their work pressure. This is the case of the demands of overload, limit objectives, concentration, precision, attention, decisions, empathy, emotions, conflicts and insecurity. In the case of resources, they refer to climate, technology, feedback, coaching and flexibility (Salanova & Schaufeli, 2009).

Work as a stage of relationships in which individuals, demands and resources are interrelated such that tend to unbalance as indi-

vidual capacities are often overwhelmed by the technology or, the demands do not follow a logic of technological development or professional training. That is why in the labor practice, individuals adjust their capacities to the scarcity of resources while they keep the increase in demands.

Autonomy and the individual's ability to understand their intrinsic work motivation. As is known, the demands are asymmetric to the resources. In this sense, capacities play a mediating role in the differences between demands and resources, but this action is gestated from autonomous decision making and the development of skills, knowledge and values related to the individual rather than the group to which he belongs or wants belong.

The workplace is increasingly uncertain; individuals tend to preserve resources based on expected demand. This impacts labor relations because the individual rather than joining a group, is associated with individuals who in the future may help him to respond to future demands. Or, other individuals specify their functions up to a degree of optimization that will allow them to supply the commitments that the demands involve them to fulfill in time and form. As demands intensify and resources become scarce, individuals tend to emphasize internal alliance external to the organization.

In synthesis, the cooperative health theory proposes; 1) intersectoral agenda in which health contingency, social confinement and economic reactivation are central themes and themes, 2) prevailing risks in decisions and actions of migratory flows as in native communities in order to be able to activate the economy, 3) usefulness in the opportunities that quarantine offers to entrepreneurs and innovators of both groups.

#### 4. Studies of Cooperative Health

The consequences of mitigation policies and the confinement strategy have permanent effects on economic recovery programs. In this process, cooperative health studies have shown an increase in negative and positive emotions based on the evaluation of government action and growth expectations (Perez, 2020).

A review of the state of knowledge of migration in Central America, Mexico and the United States. The literature mentioned that migration was an adaptation of migrant flows in central areas of their economy, but there were indications that migrants assimilated the culture that received them, even the most critical cooperative health warned of a multicultural identity that descendants of migrants already selected not to adjust to the imponderables, but to transform and innovate their environment (Sosa & Zubieta, 2012).

The migratory flows far from indicating a spurious Local Development manifested a spiral of growth in which not only the migratory phenomenon, as the literature reports, contributes to the endogenous development, but it stagnated to such an extent that the prosperity is no longer indicated by the remittances, but by the investments that the demarcation has registered in the last years

once it has been designated the category of Magical Town and with it the governmental support, combined with the local or surrounding investment, has generated when least expectations of welfare and prosperity for this municipality (Bahamonde, 2013).

However, even though prosperity is evident, whether by federal, state, local or private investment, the present work is based on analyzing the determinants of the cooperative health of residents who were migrants yesterday and are now entrepreneurs. The migratory flows in their media, social, labor and identity dimensions consider that investment impacts the labor capacities of migrants. As demands increase and resources decrease, labor competencies are intensified to respond to the group dynamics that take place in organizations. The relations between demands, resources and individuals seem to be circumscribed to mediatic, perceptual and behavioral factors. This is because migration is a complex phenomenon that transfers the impact of foreign investment on the dynamics of the organizations in which migrants are inserted. Consequently, the theories that explain the relations raised allude to a series of dependency relationships among demands, resources and capacities (López, 2013).

The relationship between perceptual factors regarding cooperative health, suppose Perceptual to establish dependency relationships between these variables and cooperative health factors. The indirect relationship between the perception of framing and the search for employment based on the intermediation of the perception of demands, resources and opportunities. That is, the time and space of migration is often perceived as two axes in which the demands and resources of the local market are lower compared to the international market. In this way, opportunities, if the demands and resources are considered in each time and space, are abundant or scarce (Delgadillo, Vargas, Nievas, Argello & González, 2013).

The perceptual bias that is incurred when comparing two economies, one expelling and one receiving migrants, would derive from the formation of public opinion by the media. The relationship between framing perceptions and the cooperative health reveals to the perception of risk as the fundamental variable for such a question. The media justify political authoritarianism asymmetry between risks and opportunities when seeking employment is also explained. That is, if expectations of uncertainty are transmitting the "cultivation" of insecurity and authoritarianism, then migrants seeking employment may perceive greater opportunities in cooperative health that pose a risk to resident workers. On the contrary, the migrants themselves may be perceived as a threat to the labor interests of the residents and, therefore, be underestimated or even, based on these attributions, the citizen opinion can justify the working conditions of the migrants (Mora, Morales, Caballero & Rivera, 2013).

Being a central theme in the agenda of the recipient countries, migration implies an intensive diffusion of the media that seek to

influence the public agenda and with it in the political agenda regarding the criminalization or legalization of migration, or xenophobia or multiculturalism. The perception of framing of employment, being a consequence of the establishment of issues related to migration, would generate expectations of risk and opportunity. As the demands and resources of the expelling society and the host society of migrants intensify, the risk and perceived opportunities increase (Berrou & Combaunous, 2012).

However, the public opinion of the host country not only discusses the exclusion or inclusion of migrants, it also generates xenophobic or inclusive discourses based on attributions that the media make to migrants and their countries of origin. Once the media have "cultivated" the public insecurity that migration poses for the countries that receive migrants, once they have established the deportation or legalization of migrants as their axis of discussion and once they have associated the wave of violence at the arrival or stay of migrants, the cooperative health is, now more than ever, based on risks rather than opportunities. It is a scenario in which options are reduced to their minimum expression while the labor market becomes informal for migrant labor.

In this scenario, identity is a preponderant factor to explain the socialization, adaptation, assimilation and selection of migrant labor. Identity, in contrast to spaces, times, diffusions, themes or frames, is the primary factor in explaining the relationship between the perception of a work environment and the search for employment. Migrants do not move for economic or media platforms, but by family networks that determine the exclusion or inclusion of a migrant in an organization. It is a series of decisions that the individual carries out before inserting himself into the dynamics of a for-profit organization or group.

Imponderables such as discrimination, xenophobia, exclusion or racism are inherent to the dynamics of a group. Identity is essential to explain the passage, establishment and return of the migrant workforce factor. Identity is associated with the perception of insecurity while it is a homogeneous factor among migrants and original residents. The differences between the migrant group and the resident group are that identity makes them differentiate as hegemonic groups with respect to their counterparts (Martinez, 2012).

The possibility that the economic system high - status groups or the political system inhibits the participation of migrants and exacerbate and differences with respect to resident citizens. The differences between the groups is inexorable to their capabilities. Rather, the asymmetric relations between residents and migrants at the time of seeking employment is generated from the social structure of both the expelling country and the recipient country. That is, in both countries' institutions tend to block initiatives that favor the free movement of people and favor the segregation of groups because of their economic, ethnic, educational, professional and

labor conditions.

If the institutions play in favor of one of the actors involved while undermining the capacities of the migrant sector, then we are witnessing a scenario in which the capacities will determine the balance between the groups since labor, health or educational exclusion is often counteracted for initiatives that arise from dissident groups to the system. The influence of the structure on the individual, regardless of spaces, times, means, themes, framings, identities, groups or institutions, the individual is trusting to receive more and more demands and respond with fewer and fewer resources (Mora, 2013).

The equation of migration in an opposite way. In principle, he argues that migration is not the result of a context of economic crisis or public insecurity, but rather, it is the effect of a series of capabilities that distinguish migrant groups from static or resident groups. Opportunities and capabilities explain the relationship between the framed perception of the facts and the search for employment. Migrants can be "cultivated" to accept insecurity and adopt political authoritarianism as a single solution, they can even be assumed to be a danger to resident groups, or to believe that institutions exclude them to care for residents, but it is a From their capacities they manage to be selected to assimilate, adapt and even socialize their skills, knowledge and values with the groups that exclude them, within the framework of the organizations that marginalize them or the society that conceives them as vulnerable groups. This is because migrants adjust their expectations and capacities to the demands and resources that are around them (Caballo, Reyes & Solis, 2006).

Individual capacities are personal resources that migrants employ regardless of public security, media influence, institutions or groups they want to belong. The demands and resources are a first instance of mediation between reality spread by the media and cooperative health. These are two factors that delimit the individual action in reference to the groups with which it interacts. This means that the migrant individual can compensate for the imbalance caused by the increase in demands and the reduction of resources with skills and knowledge, but without assuming networks of trust or cooperation (Sosa & Zubieta, 2012).

When considered a system, an organization is a scenario of imbalances and conflicts that lead individuals and groups to seek balance mechanisms. In the absence of resources, individuals respond to demands with creative ideas or innovative processes (Pariente, 2006).

However, in a context of competitiveness, the lack of technology means the intensification of efforts and therefore the decrease in the quality of processes. Knowledge management looms over those groups that manage to establish a balance. In order to achieve the established goals and objectives, the organizations supplement the scarcity of resources with autonomous labor relations, trust,

commitment and motivation (Manktelow, 2014).

All action supposes freedoms of choice, it is these opportunities that act as transmitters of mediated reality and the search for employment in migrants. This means that the imbalance of the organizational system in terms of the asymmetry between demands and resources, supposes a legal framework of autonomy at least in the decisions before the workers adjust their capacities (Pariente, 2006).

Labor autonomy not only implies the possibility of organizations forming a vertical organizational structure, but also the option that knowledge management is not decided by management when creative personnel require a wide margin of maneuver. In this sense, the conservation of resources would be a symptom of the collaborative and innovative networks that underlie work autonomy.

In essence, the anticipation of creative and innovative groups in situations of scarcity or risk refers to the fact that the migrants have experienced different scenarios and are closer to adapting to the changes because they assimilate alternative processes that, when they are socialized, delimit their margin of action and systematize their responses influencing groups that consider them external (Ramos, 2013).

The analysis of individual capacities rather than group identities, media biases or economic spaces assumes that individuals oscillate between a resource availability lower than the demands of the environment. It is about work autonomy to indicate that individuals form networks of trust, commitment and satisfaction when the organization gives them a wide margin of maneuver. On the contrary, the scarcity of resources plus the restriction of freedoms seems to be a barrier that hinders the emergence of alternatives because it inhibits the transfer of information between the groups.

In this organizational framework, migration is a phenomenon that emerges as a justification or solution to the imbalance between demands and resources. In the first case, knowledge management is restricted to migrant labor groups simply because they attribute inferior knowledge or poor training. In the second case, the quality of production is influenced by the excessive contribution of migrant groups within the organization. Migrant labor that operates outside the established standards for workers residing in the host country is condemned to substitute the automation of processes (Robles et al., 2016).

The relationship between information biased by the media and the search for employment in migrant groups in organizational settings alludes to processes such as; migration, mediatization, identity, capacities, demands and resources, also supposes perceptions around these processes. It is about six appreciations around information from at least two spaces, times, identities, groups, demands, resources and asymmetric capacities. In this way, spaces can be perceived as scenarios of opportunity or risk, times as distances in which closeness or distance encourage the search for em-

ployment. In the case of identity, this may be perceived as unfair or just in reference to groups that in turn are perceived as threatening or trustworthy. The demands and resources can be perceived as predictable or unpredictable and the capacities as inherent or inexorable to the demands and resources (Mercado & Pina, 2010).

However, the Findings do not always coincide. Moreover, the selection of ten studies related to the state of knowledge makes many of the assumptions made unlikely. It is possible to conceptually relate the selection of the ten studies with some exposed grounds. This exercise will allow establishing hypotheses for its empirical contrast. The studies selected to be conceptualized by the theories put forward have found temporary differences in terms of resources, establishment of immigration as a central theme of the public agenda and justification of the political authoritarianism of the host country, the concentration of migrants in tourist areas, the majority expulsion of Mexican migrants to the United States, the adaptation of migrants to the family planning system, the establishment of differences between migrants and residents regarding marginalization, segregation, assimilation and integration, the Mexican migrant's self-concept towards the Spanish culture, the between violence, depression and self-efficacy and differences between men and women regarding socialization with the culture of the country that received them (Dominguez & Fuentes, 2006).

The objects of study are focused on a different trajectory. Negative; poverty, immigration, marginalization, segregation, violence and depression. This route can be explained from the budgets of a vicious circle in which the accumulated stress indicates a socially destabilizing impact on the dynamics of organizations, groups and individuals in both the sending countries and receiving countries of migrants. The first case explains the migration and the second the return of the migrant. A positive trajectory; family, integration, socialization, self-concept, and self-efficacy. This process is a virtuous circle that cooperative health is latent and is determined from family dynamics up individual capabilities through demands, organizational resources and work culture.

In these topics the perceptions of the actors are present since it is the appreciation of poverty that drives migrants to seek employment in countries in which greater and better employment, integration and self-efficacy opportunities are attributed to them, although they are also built scenarios of immigration, marginalization, segregation, violence and depression due to the opportunity cost of leaving a family, or the journey that migrants go through before settling down.

In this way, studies related to migration in the workplace can be schematized from the objects of study and the findings in reference to perceptions and behaviors.

The state of knowledge, in its negative trajectory of factors inherent in migration, begins with the perception of framing that would explain the perception of poverty associated with immigration that

implies insertion in a recipient country. Then, the marginalization or segregation expected by not having documentation or technical specialty that supposes a better cooperative health. Once inserted into an organization, violence and depression would be other aspects perceived by migrants when interacting with xenophobic groups (Dominguez & Fuentes, 2006).

In its positive trajectory, migration would be driven by family networks that not only integrate the migrant to a cooperative, but socialize their values, knowledge and skills in order to increase or reduce the self-concept of the group to focus the capacities in a shared paid activity for support networks.

Both trajectories can be broken down into hypotheses of dependency relations between the perceptions alluded to in order to explain the variability that the search for employment implies.

If risks are cultivated, mediated and framed to justify anti-migrant policies, labor deregulation as well as occupational conditions, the relationship between cooperative health and the perception of demands and resources ex-explained through the perception of opportunities and capabilities. This is because the cooperative health involves a willingness to risk but depending on the expected opportunities and perceived abilities (Contreras, 2012).

In a similar process, the perception of capacities is the result of the cultivation, mediatization and framing of risks, which, when interacting with perceptions of demands, resources and opportunities, explain the virtuous circle of cooperative health.

If the risks are cultivated, mediated and framed, then they reflect the social environment. If the relations between the groups are determined by the asymmetries between urbanity and rurality, as well as the inhibition of the migrant groups in favor of the resident groups, then it would warn about a context of demands greater than the available resources and therefore the exacerbation of the perception of risks (Berrou & Combaunous, 2012).

In contrast to the perception of risks, the perception of opportunities is the result of perceptions of framing of information related to a high availability of resources. In a situation of scarcity of resources, labor demands tend to increase since the lack of technology is corrected with the management of tacit knowledge. Thus, individuals tend to perceive an increase in labor demands as their perception of framing is influenced by information related to the scarcity of resources (Carreón, 2016).

In the same way the impact of information related to the abundance of resources, the perception of framing will affect the perceptions of demands and then on the perceptions of resources. This means that when organizations are exposed to a contingency, the surrounding information defines the quantitative assessments of demands and resources (Bahamonde, 2013).

However, migrant groups not only adapt or assimilate the norms of a different group to them, they also socialize their capacities.

In this way, acculturation coexists with multiculturalism. That is increased demands impinging on increasing their capacity and strengthening their cooperative health strategy. In effect, the dynamic of the groups around former immigrants seems to complement the construction of risks, the establishment of topics, the framing of news, urban and rural asymmetries, the assimilation of risks, group asymmetries, the motivation of skills, the imbalance between demands and resources, orientation to work equilibrium, autonomy group and contingency strategies (Castiglioni, Castro & Galan, 2015).

However, the relationship between cooperative health, perception of skills, perceived demands and perceived framing does not fit the two negative and positive trends of the state of knowledge. It is a process that goes through the vicious circle that is the search for vacancies from the increase in perceived abilities caused by the increase in risks and the demands spread as barriers. Or, it goes through the virtuous circle of self-employment strategies, work skills, opportunities and resources framed in news of progress.

It is necessary to contrast the hypotheses of the relations between migrant groups with a high educational level which could be closer to the positive process and migrant groups with low educational level more affected by the negative process in reference to other resident groups such as; officials, microentrepreneurs, merchants, farmers or transporters to clarify the specified relations to crisis or economic prosperity.

In this way, the meanings around the migration, crossing and return in reference to the opportunities and labor capacities of the locality will allow to notice two scenarios; 1) the power of the State over citizenship and 2) the influence of migrant groups over ex-migrant groups. That is to say, the impact of the policies of business promotion and cooperative creation would directly affect the evaluation of the authorities and programs of employment, subsidy or unemployment insurance that would complement the explanatory model. In addition, the discourses of migrant groups as symbols of the imaginary of ex-migrant groups.

Therefore, the search for employees or former migrants is an effect of migration times and spaces, the intensive diffusion of the labor market in television, the establishment of migration issues in Mexico and the United States, the causal attribution of risks in news programs and the urban-field relationship; cause of threats to resident groups and social segregation; synonymous with service capabilities, resolution of increased demands and scarce resources and compensation factor at work. It involves two processes; a negative one in which the demands of the labor market increase the risks, and these must be mitigated by the capacities of migrants, another positive one in which the scarcity of resources must also be faced from the migrant skills. It is determined by the perceived capacities if these are affected by the perception of demands and the perceived framings of the market. However, it is necessary to carry out comparative studies to establish differences between migrant and resident groups in adverse or prosperous economic situations.

The supposed relations of dependence between the perceptual factors that determine the search for employment in former migrants will be adjusted to the data obtained since the six hypotheses put forward are imbricated in a framework logic of the labor market that impacts the demands, resources, risks, opportunities and capabilities in order to predict occupational self-management.

The supposed relations of dependence between the perceptual factors oriented to explain the cooperative health will be different from the data to be observed since these will derive from a logic of collaborative networks in which trust, commitment, satisfaction and happiness are its central elements since family dynamics seems to have a greater impact than the framing logic of the labor market.

## 5. Modelling of Cooperative Health

The landscape of the governance of migration flows involves different levels, categories and variables, which revolve around identity, health, acculturation and the bilateral agenda between expelling countries and host economies (see Figure 1).




Figure 1: Modelling of cooperative health.

Modeling proposes the mediation of government policies, strategies and programs in the face of the pandemic by television, radio, the press, Facebook, Twitter and YouTube. The effect of this dissemination of government messages and actions raises expectations regarding frames, demands, resources, risks, opportunities and skills as determinants of cooperative health.

The migration from Mexico to the United States is explained by the level of unemployment in the sending countries and employment opportunities in the host country. It is possible to observe that the state with the highest number of expulsions is Coahuila (5.5% of total migrants) and San Luis (5%). In this sense, the Huasteca region expelled a significant number of migrants with respect to

other state entities. Remittances, as an effect of FDI, unemployment and migration, went from 3 billion USD in 2006 to 2.5 billion in 2008 (Ten, 2010). Migration on economic regional concert appears to be a link in the economic chain is determined by FDI and has its main effect on remittances, but in the particular case of migration in Xilitla, La Huasteca of San Luis Potosi ( Mexico), seems to be imbricated in a system of opportunities and capacities that not only affect employment and occupation, but also involves a series of social factors and that the groups in which the former migrants are inserted also influence their employment situation.

What are the relationships between educational, economic, situational, group and individual factors that determine the employment and occupation of former migrants in Xilitla, Huasteca region of San Luis Potosi (Mexico) during the period from September 2012 to March 2020.

This work is part of the Social Work discipline, an area of migratory studies and includes concepts from organizational psychology such as identity, the sociology of work as occupational health, labor economics such as acculturation and migrant communication as the agenda bilateral.

The purpose of this study is contrast perceptual factors inherent former immigrants refers to the difference between the number of people expelled in an emerging economy compared to the number received in a developed economy, who traveled to the United States, settled economically and decided to return to their place of origin once the opportunities, or, their capacities were reduced by economic crises or public insecurity.

## 6. Method

Because it is a case study with no background, a non-probabilistic exploratory study was carried out with most of the local workforce.

**Design.** A cross-sectional study was carried out March 2020 in Xilitla, Huasteca region of San Luis Potosí, Mexico.

**Sample.** A non-probabilistic selection of 100 former migrants was carried out. The inclusion requirements were related to experiences of crossing, stay and return in the national, regional or international labor market with the purpose of seeking employment opportunities and remunerations superior to those offered by the local labor market.

**Sociodemographic.** We interviewed 87 men ( $M = 36.8$  years and  $SD = 9.27$  years) and 60 women ( $M = 27.4$  years and  $SD = 4.58$  years).

**Socioeducative** 25% of men completed the baccalaureate, 55% secondary, 15% primary and 5% had no studies completed. 47% of the women finished the baccalaureate, 36% the secondary and 17% the primary.

**Psychological partner.** 62% of respondents indicated that helping or supporting their family economically was the reason why

they decided to emigrate, while 24% indicated that they wanted to know other places and ways of working different from the options of their locality. Finally, 14% answered that they wanted to buy some good or heritage for him or her.

**Partner networks.** 78% stated that a friend or family member encouraged them to migrate, 22% indicated that they were informed in the media about the risks and opportunities to work in the place where they worked.

**Spatial partner.** 41% said they were in a city in the United States, 32% said they work on the border between Mexico and the United States, 20% said they emigrated to Mexico, the Federal District and 7% were in San Luis Potosi, capital of the state with the same name.

**Socioeconomic** It should be noted that the minimum wage in the Huasteca region is equivalent to USD200.13 per month and the respondents answered that in the place where they emigrated they had a higher salary than they could have had in the local labor market. Thus, 56% of men were employed in the services sector ( $M = 700.25$  USD monthly and  $SD = 14.82$  USD), 35% in the construction sector ( $M = 120.25$  USD and  $SD = 35.12$  USD) and 19% in trade ( $M = 602.13$  USD and  $SD = 15.27$  USD). In the case of women, 72% were employed in domestic tasks ( $M = 502.47$  USD and  $DE = 10.26$  USD), 22% in the industry ( $M = 406.25$  USD and  $DE = 24, 1$  USD) and 6% in commerce ( $M = 385.14$  USD and  $DE = 20.1$  USD).

**Instrument.** Built Scale Perceptions Labor (see Table 1).

Note: Elaborated with data study

**Process.** The "snowball" technique was used to identify former migrants considering crossing, stay and return as the preponderant characteristics of the survey. Once the sample was identified, they were visited at home and informed that the study was not related to any social program of government, religious association or political party. They were given the self-report while they were told they had about 25 minutes to respond. In cases where the response was systematically the same or its absence, the respondents were asked to write the reasons why they had chosen the same answer or had abstained from it. The data collected were captured in the Program of Statistics for Social Sciences (SPSS for its acronym in English) and software Analysis of Structural Moments (AMOS for its acronym in English) both in their versions 21

The kurtosis parameter was used to establish the normal distribution, the alpha statistic of Cronbach to estimate the internal consistency, the KMO value for the adequacy, chi square for sphericity, factorial weight obtained from the exploratory factor analysis of principal components with varimax rotation and maximum likelihood to indicate the construct validity, the parameter "phi" for covariances, the "gamma" and "beta" statistics to estimate the dependence relations between exogenous factors and endogenous

factors, or, between endogenous factors. In addition, the parameters "sigma" and "epsilon" were used for measurement errors and disturbance. With respect to the adjustment, the goodness of fit indexes (GFI) were used. Finally, the mean residual index (RMR) was calculated for the contrast of the null hypothesis.

Normal. The values of kurtosis close to the unit were assumed as evidence of normal distribution since they identify the tendency of the response in reference to the mean and the standard deviation. In the case of reagents with values higher than a value of 5, they were eliminated from further analysis.

**Table 1:** Operationalization of variables

Variable	Definition	Item	Options
Perception of framing	Degree of causal attribution of unemployment or employment	r1, r2, r3, r4, r5	0 = nothing related, 1 = very little related, 2 = little related, 3 = very related
Claims perception	Level of demands of the labor market	r6, r7, r8, r9, r10	0 = never, 1 = almost never, 2 = almost always, 3 = always
Resource perception	Degree of training and technology that the labor market offers	r11, r12, r13, r14, r15	0 = never, 1 = almost never, 2 = almost always, 3 = always
Perception of risks	Level of obstacles attributable to the labor market	r16, r17, r18, r19, r20	0 = never, 1 = almost never, 2 = almost always, 3 = always
Perception of opportunities	Estimation of sales based on a calculation of labor market needs	r21, r22, r23, r24, r25	0 = not at all frequent, 1 = very rare, 2 = rare, 3 = very frequently
Perception of capabilities	Level of skills, knowledge and values to enter the labor market	r26, r27, r28, r29, r30	0 = not at all frequent, 1 = very rare, 2 = rare, 3 = very frequently
Cooperative Health	Type of strategy to anticipate the effects of confinement or quarantine, prevent contagion, disease and death, supporting the reference or membership group.	r31, r32, r33, r34, r35	0 = nothing, 1 = talk to strangers, 2 = use the Internet, 3 = talk to family and friends

Note: Elaborated with data study

Reliability. An alpha value greater than 0.60 and lower than 0.90 was assumed as evidence of internal consistency. In those cases, in which the correlation between item and scale was less than 0.60, it was assumed to be a spurious relationship while a value greater than 0.90 was considered as a collinear relationship. Both relations, spurious and collinear allowed to discard items from the following analyzes.

Covariation The "phi" value, like the alpha value, which ranged between 0.30 and 0.90 was used as evidence of associative relationship between two factors considering the other factors. In cases where the values were lower than 0.30 or higher than 0.90 were used to guide the interpretation of results.

Structure. The "gamma" and "beta" values as well as the "phi" values were used as evidence of spurious relationship, dependence relationship or collinear relationship. In this way, following the threshold used, the dependency relationship model was interpreted considering the values between 0.30 and 0.90

Adjustment. The GFI value close to the unit was assumed as evidence of adjustment of the model of specific relationships in contrast to the model of estimated relationships. The value less than 0.90 was assumed as acceptance of the alternative hypothesis while the value greater than 0.90 was considered as evidence of acceptance of the null hypothesis.

Residual. The RMR value close to zero was assumed as evidence

Validity. The KMO value greater than 0.60 was assumed as evidence of the adequacy of the responses to the factors. Cu chi value to near unity and meaningful was considered as evidence of sphericity of responses regarding factors. Finally, the factorial weight greater than 0.300 was assumed as evidence of correlation between the item and the factor. In the cases in which the values were lower than the required thresholds, or in their case, they were not significant, they were dismissed from further analysis. Regarding the explained variance higher than 20% for each factor was considered as a requirement for the acceptance of the null hypothesis.

of adjustment of the dependency ratios specified in comparison to the estimated dependency ratios. This implied the acceptance of the null hypothesis and the rejection of the alternative hypothesis. However, the RMR value higher than 0.10 was assumed as evidence of rejection of the null hypothesis and acceptance of the alternative hypothesis.

## 7. Results

(Table 2) shows the descriptive data of the instrument.

Regarding the perception of framing, the descriptive data show a tendency of the items to the option "very little related". The R5 (M = 2.84; S = 0.35; K = 1.08) approached item option "bit related" while item R1 (M = 1.03, S = 0.38; K = 1.02) exemplified the "very little related" option. This means that the framing of the media regarding events in the US and Mexico seems to have a lesser impact on the sample surveyed since the perception of framing tends to concentrate on an option that indicates low credibility.

Regarding the perception of demands, items R6 (M = 2.49, S = 0.62; K = 1.05) and R9 (M = 2.95, S = 0.49; K = 1.01) with lower and higher scores show the tendency of the responses towards the "almost always" option. This means that the labor market is perceived as a consistent demand scenario for the surveyed sample.

In contrast, the perception of resources, according to the items R13 (M = 1.06, S = 0.64, C = 1.09) and the item R15 (M = 1.01, S = 0.33; C = 1.05), approached the "almost never" option. That is, the

sample surveyed not only considers that the labor market demands more and more, but also considers that the resources available to it are increasingly scarce.

However, although the relationship between demands and perceived resources is the reverse, risk perception presents a trend of the extreme items R17 (M = 1.02, S = 0.63, K = 1.01) and R20 (M = 1.04, S = 0.82, K = 1.09) contrary to the scarcity of resources and increased demands. The sample surveyed seems to consider that the increase in demands and the reduction of resources are insufficient to anticipate a scenario of health risks.

Precisely, the perception of opportunities complements the assumption according to which the surveyed sample ignores the consequences of the increase in demands and the decrease in resources. The items R22 (M = 2.48, S = 0.51, K = 1.05) and R25 (M = 2.91, S = 0.22, K = 1.05) show the tendency of the responses to the "always" option This is because the survey sample seems to

anticipate a scenario in which risks are minimal and opportunities are abundant.

When observing the perception of abilities, the items R27 (M = 2.48, S = 0.51, K = 1.05) and R30 (M = 2.91, S = 0.22, K = 1.04) they complement the scenario of risks and opportunities in contrast to the scenario of demands and resources. The sample surveyed seems to consider that "very frequently" their capacities will allow them to face the two scenarios that they perceive.

Finally, regarding the search for employment, the surveyed sample oscillates between not having a strategy to work in the government item R33 (M = 0.36, S = 0.94, K = 1.05) and talking to their relatives to start a business item R32 (M = 2.85, S = 0.62, K = 1.08) this means that the scenario derived from the relationship between demands and resources would be adjusted to the inaction of cooperative health in the government, while the scenario related to risks, opportunities and capabilities would be oriented to the management of a business among their relatives.

**Table 2:** Instrument descriptions

R	M	S	K	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6	F7
r1	1.03	0.38	1.02	0.371						
r2	1.05	0.49	1.03	0.38						
r3	1.06	0.19	1.04	0.485						
r4	2.71	0.23	1.06	0.401						
r5	2.84	0.35	1.08	0.261						
r6	2.49	0.62	1.05		0.304					
r7	2.79	0.16	1.08		0.461					
r8	2.71	0.72	1.03		0.461					
r9	2.95	0.49	1.01		0.362					
r10	2.58	0.52	1.06		0.456					
r11	1.04	0.47	1.07			0.385				
r12	1.05	0.52	1.07			0.38				
r13	1.06	0.64	1.09			0.371				
r14	1.02	0.61	1.03			0.441				
r15	1.01	0.33	1.05			0.312				
r16	1.02	0.41	1.07				0.331			
r17	1.02	0.63	1.01				0.305			
r18	1.07	0.78	1.02				0.357			
r19	1.03	0.41	1.07				0.41			
r20	1.04	0.82	1.09				0.467			
r21	2.52	0.94	1					0.391		
r22	2.48	0.51	1.05					0.415		
r23	2.68	0.31	1.06					0.352		
r24	2.75	0.54	1.01					0.344		
r25	2.71	0.68	1.06					0.576		
r26	2.83	0.2	1.07						0.415	
r27	2.4	0.51	1.03						0.352	
r28	2.51	0.36	1.06						0.593	
r29	2.71	0.4	1.02						0.510	
r30	2.66	0.55	1.04						0.453	
r31	2.41	0.67	1.01							0.403
r32	2.85	0.62	1.08							0.543
r33	0.36	0.94	1.05							0.612
r34	2.73	0.26	1.06							0.549
r35	2.03	0.36	1.09							0.439

Note: Elaborated with data study; R = Reactive, M = Median, S = Standard Deviation, K = Kurtosis, A = Alpha with excluded value item. Method: Principal ways; Rotation: Promax. Adequation & Sphericity [ $\chi^2 = 23,24$  (21df)  $p < ,05$ ; KMO = ,070]. F1 = Perception of Frames (16% total variance explained and alpha of ,760), F2 = Perception of Demands (13% total variance explained and alpha of ,785), F3 = Perception of Resources (10% total variance explained and alpha ,756), F4 = Perception of Risk (8% total variance explained and alpha ,795), F5 = Perception of Opportunities (5% total variance explained and alpha ,752), F6 = Perception of Abilities (3% total variance explained and alpha ,749), F7 = Cooperative health (1% total variance explained and alpha ,775).

The descriptive data of the instrument reveal two perceived scenarios that, when related to the cooperative health, could be complemented. However, the consistency of the sample responses and their validity would open the possibility of establishing dependency relationships between the perceived scenarios and the search for employment.

(Table 2) shows suitability analysis ( $KMO = 6.06$ ) and sphericity ( $X^2 = 3.57$  (14 gl)  $p = 0.001$ ) which allowed to perform the estimation of seven factors. The first factor related to the perception of framing explained 56% of the variance and included the items R1, R2, R3 and R4 to reach an internal consistency of 0.71 above the required threshold. The second factor alluding to the perception of demands explained 41% of the variance and was configured with indicators R7, R8 and R10, in addition it obtained a reliability of 0.74 higher than the minimum required.

The third factor regarding the perception of resources explained 37% of the variance whose reagents were R11, R12, R14 and R15 which were consistently related to the scale ( $\alpha = 0.75$ ). The fourth factor related to risk perception explained 34% of the variance while it was configured with the items R16, R17, R18 and R20 which reached a reliability above the specified threshold ( $\alpha = 0.77$ ). The fifth factor related to opportunities explained 27% of the variance when correlating with items R21, R22, R23 and R25, which obtained an optimal internal consistency ( $\alpha = 0.76$ ). The sixth factor involved was the perception of capacities that explained 23% of the variance and was constructed with reagents R26, R27, R28, R29 and R30 that correlated favorably with

the instrument ( $\alpha = 0.70$ ). Finally, the seventh factor referred to the cooperative health that explained 20% of the variance and was configured with indicators R32, R34 and R35 when consistently correlating with the scale ( $\alpha = 0.78$ ).

The validity and reliability of the instrument evidenced seven factors consistent with the manifest variables. This supposed associative relations between each factor. In this sense, (Table 3) shows the analysis of covariance between the perception of framing and the search for employment ( $\Phi = 0.694$ ) with respect to the other factors. In the same way, the perception of demands was associated with the perception of resources ( $\Phi = 0.762$ ). In the case of the perception of resources, the correlation with the perception of risks ( $\Phi = 0.601$ ) was greater with respect to the other factors. On the other hand, this last factor of perceived risks was positively linked to the search for employment ( $\Phi = 0.681$ ) in reference to the other factors. Finally, the perception of opportunities was related to the cooperative health ( $\Phi = 0.795$ ). These results show associative relationships between the factors involved with respect to the correlation between the other factors. From these findings it was possible to expect dependency relationships.

Capacity to determiner work strategies ( $\beta = 0.57$ ) corroborated the hypothesis 1, but the factor which achieved greater correlation value was perceived opportunities ( $\Phi = 0.795$ ). That is, the sample surveyed seems to opt for their perceived skills more than for the labor market opportunities when establishing a cooperative health strategy (see Figure 2).

**Table 3:** Correlations & covariance between factors

	M	S	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6	F7	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6	F7
<b>F1</b>	23,41	12,34	1,0							1,8						
<b>F2</b>	32,25	15,42	,41**	1,0						0,45	1,9					
<b>F3</b>	24,39	16,58	,50*	,58*	1,0					0,39	0,76	1,9				
<b>F4</b>	26,43	10,59	,67*	,47*	,30**	1,0				0,48	0,39	0,6	1,8			
<b>F5</b>	21,46	19,83	,47**	,40*	,48***	,39*	1,0			,59	,59	0,39	,51	1,8		
<b>F6</b>	32,47	15,47	,38*	,52*	,52*	,48**	,60*	1,0		0,39	,49	0,4	0,5	0,5	1,7	
<b>F7</b>	43,54	13,58	,56***	,48**	,68*	,51**	,56*	,42*	1,0	,69	0,5	0,4	0,68	0,79	0,33	1,9

Note: Elaborated with data study; M = Median, S = Standard Deviation; F1 = Perception of Frames, F2 = Perception of Demands, F3 = Perception of Resources, F4 = Perception of Risk, F5 = Perception of Opportunities, F6 = Perception of Abilities, F7 = Cooperative health: \*  $p < ,01$ , \*\*  $p < ,001$ ; \*\*\*  $p < ,0001$




Figure 2: Structural equation modelling.

Note: Elaborated with data study, F1 = Perception of Frames, F2 = Perception of Demands, F3 = Perception of Resources, F4 = Perception of Risk, F5 = Perception of Opportunities, F6 = Perception of Abilities, F7 = Cooperative health, r = Indicator, d = Disturbance measurement factor, e = Error measurement indicator, relations between errors and indicator or disturbance and factor, relations between factor and indicators.

Regarding hypothesis 2, the perception of abilities correlated with the perception of risks ( $\Phi = 0.506$ ), but the perception of demands was determined ( $\beta = 0.46$ ). This means that the survey sample seems to trust your s capabilities when seeking employment, although there is an increase in labor market demands and risks associated with skills which delineates the relationship between their abilities and search strategies vacancy.

Regarding hypothesis 3, the risk perception was influenced by the perception of framing ( $\gamma = 0.62$ ) same variable with which it established a higher covariance ( $\Phi = 0.485$ ) in reference to the perception of demands ( $\Phi = 0.395$ ), but lower than the search for employment, a factor with which it was associated to a greater extent ( $\Phi = 0.681$ ).

For its part, hypothesis 4 was not contrasted since both predictors; Framing perception ( $\gamma = 0.57$ ) and perception of resources ( $\gamma = 0.50$ ) were related spuriously. Despite this, the search for employment was associated to a greater extent ( $\Phi = 0.694$ ) with respect to the other factors.

Finally, in scenario 5 and 6, the perception of framing determined the perception of demands ( $\gamma = 0.39$ ) and the perception of resources ( $\gamma = 0.48$ ), although the perception of resources was associated to a greater extent with the perception of demands ( $\Phi = 0.762$ ) and the perception of risks covaried mainly with the perception of resources ( $\Phi = 0.601$ ) in comparison to the other factors.

The analysis of dependency relations suggests the acceptance of hypotheses 1, 2, 3, 5 since hypothesis 4 could not be contrasted.

The findings allowed us to estimate the square chi [ $X^2 = 3.48$  (3 gl)  $p = 0.000$ ], the Adjustment Goodness Index (GFI = 0.975) and the Quadratic Mean Residual (RMR = 0.001) which tested the hypothesis null and whose value is allowed to accept it.

The present study has established five hypotheses regarding the prediction of cooperative health in a sample of migrants. The results warn that the perceived abilities determined the search strategies, although the perceived demands affected the labor skills.

The first hypothesis regarding the prediction of the search for employment based on the perception of abilities ( $\beta = 0.57$ ), the latter was influenced by the perceived demands ( $\gamma = 0.62$ ) as a second contrasted hypothesis. The third hypothesis was established by the incidence of the perception of framing on perceived risks ( $\gamma = 0.48$ ) while both the perception of demands and the perceived resources were determined by the perceived framing of the labor market ( $\gamma = 0.57$ ) in assumptions five and six.

Finally, the null hypothesis was accepted since the statistic values as well as the adjustment and residual indices showed the contrast between the specified relationships and the observed data [ $X^2 = 3.48$  (3 gl)  $p = 0.000$ ; GFI = 0.975; RMR = 0.001].

## 8. Discussion

The contribution of the present work to the state of the question lies in the contrast of a model for the study of cooperative health, considering its determinants coming from the theory of setting the agenda and the perception of security.

In relation to cooperative health theory, which highlights the establishment of an agenda focused on safety, this work has shown that the perception of opportunities determined cooperative health. Research lines concerning cooperative entrepreneurship will allow us to notice the solidarity structures between migrants and natives in the event of a contingency.

Regarding the studies on cooperative health where the influence of media framing on risk perceptions of audiences is highlighted,

this work has shown that the perception of opportunities focused on demands and resources affects health cooperation in confinement, prevention of infection and adherence to the treatment of the disease. The development of research concerning health promotion and the adoption of risk-free behaviors will explain the relationship between the media, the ruled and the ruled in the face of uncertainty.

In relation to the proposed modeling that highlights the influence of the media that disseminate government policies, strategies and programs related to economic mitigation and reactivation, this work has shown that the perceived opportunities are determining factors for migrant and native actions in confinement, essential activities and health prevention. The work to be done in terms of the impact of the media on confinement and in the face of de-escalation will be essential to explain the trust of citizens towards their rulers.

In synthesis, the findings found in the present work in discussion with the theoretical, conceptual and empirical frameworks; 1) they warn of a propensity for health cooperation in the face of confinement, 2) expectations of job opportunities in terms of health in its preventive and managerial dimension, 3) cooperative health as a result of diversified expectations in migrants.

## 9. Conclusion

The objective of the present work was to contrast the perceptions around the governance of migratory flows considering seven dimensions related to framings, demands, resources, risks, opportunities, capacities and employment. In this sense, the reliability and validity of an instrument that measures the seven dimensions allowed to establish the relationships between these factors with respect to the cooperative health in migrants, but the type of study, the type of selection shown and the type of analysis. The statistical results limit the results of this study to the context and to the study sample.

It is necessary to carry out a quasi-experimental study with a probabilistic and stratified selection in order to be able to contrast the trajectories of dependency relationships between the variables used in the literature consulted. Such research will make it possible to establish an agenda centered on the propaganda of the State's rector as a bilateral negotiator for the expulsion and / or reception of migrants.

Having demonstrated the process of establishing the bilateral agenda in the analysis of media propaganda that would highlight the asymmetries between the quality of life of an expulsion context in relation to the social welfare of a receiving context, the conception of the Occupational health, including the salary amount and subsequent remittances, would generate a work identity that would activate travel, stay and return expectations in potential migrants

with some capacity, skill or knowledge required in the receiving scenario and in accordance with the values and norms of the dominant native culture.

In the framework of the construction of a governance of migratory flows, co-management and co-responsibility would be the balance between the growing demands of the labor market with respect to the capacities of migratory flows and the resources of the host organizations. It would be a system of recruitment and selection of personnel in which migratory flows would participate through representatives in each of the government instances, but under the yoke of an intercultural conception that would oblige the receiving and expelling States to at least respect the economic, political, social and labor rights of migrants.

The governance of migrant flows refers to a system of co-management and co-responsibility based on intercultural precepts of respect for the rights and individual guarantees of migrants in relation to a dominant indigenous culture, but the incidence in the expulsion and receiving policies would not be exclusively gestated. In the attention to vulnerable groups, labor conditions or their human rights, but also, it would be carried out in the process of establishing the bilateral agenda between the countries and organizations dedicated to promote propaganda of expulsion and reception of migrant flows, the adjustment of its uses and customs to the values and norms native, as well as to acceptance of occupational risks.

## References

1. Aldana WI, Garcia C, Sanchez A, Hernández J, Espinoza Fy Sandoval FR. Especificación de un modelo para el estudio de la identidad migratoria. *Epsys*. 2019; 30: 1-8.
2. Anguiano F. Metanalysis of the effects of entrepreneurship on local development: Implications for the COVID19 coronavirus pandemic. *International Journal of Research in Engineering & Science*. 2020; 8: 40-47.
3. Bahamond EP. Attitudes of Chileans towards the acculturative orientations of Peruvian immigrants and their relationship with intercultural variables. *Praxis Revista de Psicología*. 2013; 15: 29-55.
4. Berrou JP and Combaunous F. The personal networks of entrepreneurs in an informal African urban economy. Does the strength of ties matter? *Review of Social Economy*. 2012; 70: 1-30.
5. Caballlo A, Reyes R and Solis P. The competitive strategy adopted by the transnational company in the global market. *Accounting and Administration*. 2006; 50: 89-122.
6. Carreón J. Human development: Governance and social entrepreneurship. Mexico: UNAM-ENTS. 2016.
7. Carreon J. Met analysis of intellectual capital scale: Implications for coping with the COVID-19 pandemic. *Journal of Biomedical Sciences*. 2020; 2: 1-5.

8. Castiglioni M, Castro I and Galán, JL. The use and choice of multi-partner partnerships: an exploratory study. *Market Economic & Business Journal*. 2015; 46: 67-94.
9. Castro T and Rosero L. Maternity and borders. The fertility of immigrant women in Spain. *International Journal of Sociology*. 2011; 1: 105-137.
10. Contreras G. Networking associations for the socio-digital integration in Andalusia. *Journal of Andalusian Studies*. 2012; 29: 105-26.
11. Delgado L, Vargas A, Nievar Á, Arguello J, and González L. Effects of partner violence on migrant women. *Civilize*. 2013; 13: 103-16.
12. Delgado MA, Garcia Cy, Mejia S. Specification of a model for the study of consensual migration. *Ehquidad*. 2018; 9: 33-49.
13. Domínguez G, and Fuentes J. Communication and information as or generators of competitiveness in organizations. *Accounting and Administration*. 2006; 50: 207-30.
14. Farraguti G. Governmentality and human capital. Towards an outline of the emergency conditions of discourses on the information society, education and new technologies. *Practices and Discourses*. 2012; 1: 1-16.
15. Fernández O. Genesis and composition of an Ibero-American migratory system. *Nomads*. 2011; 29: 1-22.
16. Fonseca G, Valenzuela CR, Perrand MV, & Cosme J. Terminos económicos más utilizados en salud. *Medisan*. 2017; 21: 1-27.
17. Garcia C. Coffee entrepreneurship among migrants of the Huasteca region central Mexico. *E&D*. 2018; 30: 119-147.
18. Guardiola AMC, Espinar E, Sánchez MIH. The immigrants as a threat. Migratory processes in Spanish television. *Convergence*. 2010; 17: 49-68.
19. Hernandez JV, Najera MJ, Ruiz HDM, Ramirez MA, Lirios CG, Morales FE. Specification of a social intervention model against COVID-19. *Biomedical Journal of Scientific & Technical Research*. 2020; 26: 62-6.
20. Hernández P. The Costa Rican identity before the dilemmas of migration, cultural diversity and socioeconomic inequality. *Reflections*. 2013; 92: 23-33.
21. Limon GA. Specification a model of need for information about COVID-19 coronavirus. *International Journal of Social Science Studies*. 2020; 8: 1-5.
22. Lopez Y. Migration and ethnicity in the construction of imaginaries: The case of Mexicans in Murcia. *Imagonauts*. 2013; 3: 37-55.
23. Manktelow A. Guide to emerging markets. London: Economist Intelligence Unit. 2014.
24. Market A, Pineapple M. Migration to the United States and its impact on the new immigration reform. *Public Spaces*. 2010; 13: 216-35.
25. Martínez AP. Analysis of business networks and their territorial incidence. Technology transfer, learning and innovation. *Journal of Andalusian Studies*. 2012; 29: 18-58.
26. Mora V, Morales T, Caballero P and Rivera Ma. Migration of the spouse: Analysis of the psychological resources of Michoacan women. *Uaricha*. 2013; 21: 44-55.
27. Pacheco D. The Costa Rican identity before the dilemmas of migration, cultural diversity and socioeconomic inequality. *Reflections*. 2013; 92: 23-33.
28. Perez G. Attitudes towards the media spread of the COVID19 coronavirus. *International Journal of Humanities & Social Science Intervention*. 2020; 9: 20-5.
29. Ramos L. Alliances and collaborative networks between the cultural groups of the ethnic arts in Andalusia. *Empriia*. 2013; 15: 26-34.
30. Relative JL. The training of administrators in the new international environment. *Accounting and Administration*. 2006; 50: 124-44.
31. Robles C, Alviter LE, Ortega AO, and Martínez E. Culture of quality and innovation in the microenterprise. In M. Quintero., Sales, J. and Velázquez, EB (coord.). *Innovation and technology Challenges for its practical application in companies*. (pp. 11-27). Mexico: Porrúa-UAEMEX. 2016.
32. Salanova M, and Schaufeli W. The engagement at work. When work becomes passion. Madrid: Alliance. 2009.
33. Sánchez A, Anguiano F, Molina HD, Zallas LA, Aldana WI, Pérez G, et al., Categorical structure and subcategories in the exploration of press releases related to the administration of migratory security. *IASF*. 2018; 11: 1-23.
34. Sánchez A, Juárez M, Bustos JMy, García C. Contrast a labor of expectations in ex migrants from central Mexico. *GPT*. 2018; 32: 21-36.
35. Sosa F, and Zubieta E. Psychological acculturation and beliefs in migrant and non-migrant students. *Hologram*. 2012; 17: 45-70.
36. Ten J. Labor immigration in La Rioja. *Redur*. 2010; 9: 271-307.
37. US Census Bureau. American community surveys. Washintg: USCB. 2017. <https://www.census.gov/>
38. Zonj J, & Batalova J. Mexican inmigrants in the United States. Migration policy Institute. 2019.